

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

6.3.4 - Number of teachers undergoing online/face-to-face Faculty development Programmes (FDP) during the year (Professional Development Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course, Short Term Course etc.)

6.3.4.1 - Total number of teachers attending professional development Programmes viz., Orientation / Induction Programme, Refresher Course, Short Term Course during the year

Response: 51

Table of Contents

- Summary on teachers undergoing online/face-to-face Faculty development Programmes (FDP) during the year (Professional Development Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course, Short Term Course etc.)1 FDP Event Report4 2. Poster of FDP......7 3. Geo-Tagged Photographs of FDP8 4. Sample of FDP Certificate9 5. Attendance Record of FDP10 6. Feedback Response of FDP13 7. Summary Report on FDP......43 8.
- Summary on teachers undergoing online/face-to-face Faculty development Programmes (FDP)
 during
 the
 year
 (Professional Development Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course,
 Short Term Course etc.)

6.3.4 Number of teachers undergoing online/face-to-face Faculty development Programmes (FDP) during the year (Professional Development Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course, Short Term Course etc.)

Name of teacher who attended	Title of the program	Duration (from - to) (DD-MM-YYYY)
Dr Keshav Patidar	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Dr Richa Gupta	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Dr Satish Penchala	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023

Page 1 of 43

Indore In Principal Science



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f)

	2023-2024	
Dr Shweta Agrawal	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Di Shweta Agrawai	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 20-07-2023
Dr Margi Patel	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
9	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Dr Reet Gupta	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Ms. Poonam Bagora	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24 07 2022 +- 28 07 2022
Mr.Neeraj Paliwal	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Canash Batidan	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Ganesh Patidar	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 20-07-2023
Ms. Rati Gupta	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Ms. Himani Jaisinghani	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Dr. Rekha Bisht	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Ms. Lalota Bargauya	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
M. Marka Biath	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Ms. Megha Birthare	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 20-07-2023
Mr. Vivek Gupta	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
mi. Tivek Gupta	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	27-31-2025 to 20-01-2025
Ms. Rupal Yadav	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Ms. Farhin Khan	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Dr. Preeti Dixit	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Dr Irfan Mansuri	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
D 61 22 61 11	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24 07 2022 4- 28 07 2022
Dr Shiji Shukla	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Amit Kanungoo	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Annt Kanungoo	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 20-07-2023
Mr. Pritesh Sakhlecha	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
of.	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Mr. Amit Goud	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Mr. Ankush Sakhlecha	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Mr. Rakesh Verma	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24 07 2022 to 28 07 2022
Ms. Praveena Joshi	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Dr. Amit Jain	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
DI. Amit Jani	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-01-2023 to 20-01-2023
Ms. Nisha Bhati	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
1.000 a 1.000 ac apares	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Ms. Archamna	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
Ms. Aarti Pardeshi	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	na razone sa programa altra anti-
Mr. Punnet S Duggal	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
	One Week EDD (24 July 2022 to 20 July 2022) in	
	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24 05 2022 + 20 05 2022
	Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Ms. Parimeeta	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	
	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023 24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Ms. Parimeeta Ms. Namrata K	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Ms. Parimeeta	Research Methodology One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	

Page 2 of 43

Principal Science



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

	2023-2024	
Mr. Akashdeep	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Vipin Singh	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Mahavir Dangi	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Ishanya Joshi	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Dr Gopal Yadav	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr Pankaj	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Ms. Poorva S	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr Ashutosh K	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Ankit Muley	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr Nitin Chauhan	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Dr Mukesh Partidar	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr. Pranav Parnjpe	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Dr Niraj Soni	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr Deevendra S Mandloi	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Mr Aditya S	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023
Dr Sukhdev	One Week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) in Research Methodology	24-07-2023 to 28-07-2023

Note: Classify the data and provide during the year

Page 3 of 43

Principal
Indore In Brincipal Science
and Tachnology, Indora



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

2. FDP Event Report

Indore Institute of Science and Technology Event Report(On Campus)

Academic Year - 2023-2024

Session: JULY-DEC 2023

Name of Event: FDP

(GuestLecture/Seminar/Workshop/FDP)

Date of Event: 24/07/2023 to 28/07/2023

Topic: . . . FDP on Research Methodology

Organizing Dept.: Department of Computer Science/Information technology/AIML and Data

Event Coordinator: Mrs. Praveena Joshi

- Name of Expert/Guest:

- Mr. Rakesh Soni Dr. Shweta Agrwal Dr. Richa Gupta
- Dr. Puncet Duggal Dr. Veena Wadwani

Institute / Company: IIST Address: IIST INDORE

Details of Participants:

		o. of		of ulties	Department	No. of	Rema
No. of Institutes Participate ed IIS Other		Post deserted		CSE/IT/EC/ME/CM/	Industry Representati	rk if	
	IIS T	Other	IIST	Other	ESH/AIML	ve	
ī	00	00	51	00	CSE/IT/EC/ME/CM/ ESH/AIML/DS		

*Please enclose a detailed list.

Also enclosed following details:

- Approval Letter
 Invitation card/Brochure / Leaflet (if printed by Institute or Organizing Partner) print/Social

- Detailed summary on event. (Outcome)

 Media Report (attach copy of newspaper)/ write-up for media/FB write-up

 Certificate / Letter (if printed by Institute or Organizing Partner)/Attendance



Principa Principal Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore



Principal and Tec



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

Indore Institute of Science and Technology

Department of Computer Science/Information Technology/AI/MI/IOT and

Data Science

To

The principal,

Indore Institute of Science & Technology.

Subject: Permission for conducting a faculty development program (FDP) on "Research Methodology"

Respected Sir,

The Department of Computer Science and Allied Departments are planning to conduct a oneweek FDP on "Research Methodology" from July 24 to July 28. The purpose of this FDP is to foster knowledge of research awareness, research contents, intellectual property rights, and different advanced research tools to make research and innovation more effective. The FDP will be open to all HST faculty members.

The details of the speakers and schedule are as follows:

S. No.	Speaker Name	Topic	Date
1	Mr. Rakesh Soni	Patent filing Process	July 24, 2023
		Research tools and techniques	July 25, 2023
3	Dr. Shweta Agrawal	Sponsored research projects and funding opportunities	July 26, 2023
4	Dr. Richa Gupta	How to read research papers	July 27, 2023
5		Research publications and Plagiarism	July 27, 2023
5	Dr. Veena 🛭 adwani	Effective Communication	July 28, 2023

From the above-mentioned speakers, Mr. Rakesh Soni is an industry consultant from Goodwill intellect. For his felicitation, the following are the requirements:

Page 5 of 43

1. Vehicle arrangement for pick-up and drop-off.

2. Honorarium of Rs. 2000 for 2 hours.

Submitting this note sheet for your kind approval please.

Thank you. 21 July 2023

Coordinator/HOD

Principal Principal

Adelsor

Indore InPrincipal f Science

and Technology, Indore



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024



Indore Institute of Science and Technology
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal

Date: - 20/07/2023

Notice

All Faculty members of IIST are hereby informed that the department of Department of Computer Science/Information technology/AIML and Data Science has organized FDP on Research Methodology.

Event Coordinator: Mrs. Praveena Joshi

Name of Expert/Guest:

- · Mr. Rakesh Soni
- · Dr. Shweta Agrwal
- · Dr. Richa Gupta
- · Dr. Puneet Duggal
- Dr. Veena Wadwani

Institute / Company: IIST

Address: IIST INDORE

All Faculty members are requested to take benefit of the same.

Date: 24/07/2023 to 28/07/2023

Venue: - IIST Auditorium

Time:-02:00 PM

HOD UST A STATE OF THE PARTY OF

TO A COUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

Principal Principal Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore

IQAC Page 6 of 43

Indore Principal



Indore Institute of Science & Technology Science & Technology

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

3. Poster of FDP











Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

4. Geo-Tagged Photographs of FDP













Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

5. Sample of FDP Certificate





Indore Institute of Science
Principal
and Technology, Indore



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

6. Attendance Record of FDP

Indog Institute of Science & Technology Computer Science Engineering and Allied departments
One week FDP (24 July 2023 to 28 July 2023) on Reseach Methodology

S. No.	Full Name	Institute/Departme nt Name	24 July 202	dance Sheet	3 26 July 2023	27 July 2023	28 July 202
-	Dr. Keshav Patidar	IIST	111	111	-	111	11
1	Ok Reecha Gupta	IIST	me.	PR	0	0	000
(U)	D. Sathish Penchala	IIST		they	-Ant-	tus	
1	Shweta Agrawal	IIST	tund	daml	- dans	do	
	Dr. Margi Patel	IIST	Marg Ydraby	0	Van di Van	Horse Visions	Water Williams
	Reetu Gupta	IIST-AIML Dept	Br	(DE	Tox.	Vot.	ALL DE
* 7	Poonam Bagora	BST Civil Engineering Department			-	000	Deg
	Neeraj Paliwal	CSE	war.	Lucas	waye	uer's	
9	Ganesh Patidar	IIST CSE	No.	1,50	- Tunz	The	
10	Rati Gupta	IIST//T		6/	Ok-	8	and
11	Himani Jaisinghani	Indore Institute of Pharmacy	1.7	*		<i>b</i>	7
12	Dr. Rekha Bisht	Indore Institute of Phermacy					
13	Lalita bargaiya	IIST	taleburger	White Till	19725	JUNE POS	Les 7/23
14	Megha Birthare	CSE	THE WAR	HX stans	A Terros	The street	ALX LUD
15	Vivek Gupta	IIST	agn	alin	0	(X)	The state of the s
16	Rupal Yaday	IIST/IT	Blogon	Chogon	Polado	(Pradav	
17	Farhin Khan	Chemical Engineering	Janting!	Faction 1	4.19	fort to	Invelor

Ac.	Full Name	Institute/Department Name	24 July 2023	25 July 2023	26 July 2023	27 July 2023	28 July 202
18	Dr. Preeti Dixit	IIST/ ESH	(D)	(B)			
19	Dr Irfan Mansuri	IIST/ESH	di	1.		hi	1
20	Dr. Shijii Shukla	IIST	-/-				2
21	Amit kanungo	IIST/CSE	A 10.	AL	Ale.	#	
22	Pritesh Sakkely	TIST CSE	- Burlo	Party	- 1	Paplato	Pay
23	Amit goved	IIST CE	Amit	TAT	Amil		1
24	Anperst Souther	IIST/CSE -	Ameliela	7	Anchela	A soluba	Asusee
25	Rakesh Vering	JIST/CSE	Jan .	1	-	Ve .	
26	Barrenstoni	JAST CSF	of and	An-	Offin -	2	ale
	Dr. Amit Jain	TKI 165H	p-		1	A	A
28	Nigha Bhavi	TIST/CSE	Office	also !	2000	Die	Ma
	Archana Sonasia		B				*/
30	Aarti Pardeshi	IIST/ESH	Mary				
- 31	Burets dugo	MST &	800		2094	A .	^
32	Paineel	NST	ned	har	my	ther	me
/33	Want K	7757	'AT	(F)	(H)	190	(H)

Page 10 of 43

Indore Institute of Science Principal and Technology, Indore





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

24 July 2023	3 28 July 2023	26 July 2023	27 July 2023	28 July 202:
Dr.				
Dr.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· ·				
1 1				
1 1	9		- 1	
1 1	0			
1 tohan	LTP-AP-TAIL-	Rame -	may	Popu
	talement):	1	1	1
1	Au	Λ.		~
1 -	-D	&	Pr-	A
	Horry.			Hory
-			1	Pro 1
	No.		w	bez
1 1	Amis (thems	Francis	Freming
	Dura	0 4/ 6	0	
-	1	ALL A	WILL	Russe
	Kuks	/		Tab.
	Anse	AN	in-	100
61.67	200	10		V
SHOULD	Challe T	CNCCI	SNACO	MINIGHT
		2	0	00
		HO12	4607	Mary
1-1 24 Tules	25 July	26 July	21 July	28 July
1157			Ohar	
	1	A. Nava	1- Vine	e dodine
454	_	0.000	- Chores	1
n				1//
				Staller
5	_			1
	1			
				,A
_	-		-	
			-	-
		-		
_	-			
			-	
	M-	That I July 25 July 1157	Chica Chila Company of July 26 July 1157	Charles Charle

IQAC Page 11 of 43

Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore



Indore Institute of Science & Technology Science & Technology

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

	Name	Deparetment/Institute	26 July 27 July 28.
	Navren Veame	EC	amma
	Consided honalia	EL	1.90
	In mutost Patien	GC.	afte
	Pronav Paranipe	ECE	8-
	Alitya stastici	ECE	deliga
	Tx. Neera Thacker	tesh tesh	(Le 2 (1) 24
	Nimaj Soni	CIVIL	57-7
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	11	1.5	
			1
TO TO			
手刀割			
13		18	
2 22			
+.			7



and Technology



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

7. Feedback Response of FDP

A SUBST	
	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore Department of Computer Science Engineering and Alijed Department
	One week Faculty Development Program
	On Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)
	그들은 하는 그는
	Name of participant (Optional)D.Y.: Almit date
	Department NameES.H. (. Physiw.)
	1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
	a. "Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess and needs?
	a. Yes, completely
	b. Yes, somewhat
	c. No, not very
	d. No not et all
	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
6	a. Excellent
_	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	Poor How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters?
	a. Excellent b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	a. Yes, very approachable
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable
A	c. No, not very approachable
	d. No, not at all approachable
	6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	a. Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent
	c. No, not much
	d. No not at all
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	Any other comment:
	Research expects from vasious ficins institute
	Any other comment: Research expects from vasious fields must be included in FDP
	Suggestions for Improvement:







	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indose Advisory Department of Computer Science Engineering and Albed Department One week Faculty Development Program On	
and the	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)	- 10
	Name of participant (Optional). Department Name. ESH. DEPARTMENT.	
	1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	
	a, Excellent	4.01+8
	Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess; pool needs? 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess; pool needs?	345
199	2. Was the content of the PDF felevant to your	3.12
	Yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat	
	c. No, not very	
- 1	d. No, not at all 3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	
1.	a. Excellent	
-	b Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	1660명 - 그래트 1275.0mm 이 보고 1860 - 1861 - 1861 - 1862.1 - 1862.1 - 1862.1 - 1862.1 - 1862.1 - 1862.1 - 1862.1 - 1	
5	Poor How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters?	
	s. Excellent	
	Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	
	Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	
	a. Yes, very approachable	
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
	c. No, not very approachable	1
	d. No, not at all approachable	1 200
. (6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
	A. Yes, significantly	
	b. Yes, to some extent	
	c. No, not much	
	d. No, not at all 7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	
	7. How do you rate the quanty and rotation	
	a. Excellent 5. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	
	Any other comment:	
	Suggestions for Improvement:	
	Suggestion .	







F-7475	TO THE CHARLES AND AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO
	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore : 19 Hall
	Department of Computer Science Engineering and Affied Department
A HARTS	Department of Computer Science Laguacetra Development Program One week Faculty Development Program
1000	One week Faculty Development Program
3423	On
	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)
150	Name of participant (Optional)
	Name of participant (Optional) Department Name. ESH. DEPARTMENT
1,211553	Department Name
	1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
100	a. Excellent
	Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
1 - 17	e. Poor
	e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess on needs?
	ar Yes, completely
	b. Yes, somewhat
	c. No, not very
3.5	
	d. No, not at all How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
Y	a. Excellent
(
	Je Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
K - P	How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters?
	n. Excellent
	Very Good
	e. Good
	d. Fair
	e, Poor
	Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	a. Yes, very approachable
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable
	c. No, not very approachable
	4. No not at all approachable
1 6	6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	Yes, significantly
	b. Yes, to some extent
	c. No, not much
	4. We not stall
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	a. Excellent
	6 Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	Any other comment:
	Suggestions for Improvement:
	Suggestion for temperature







Indore Institute of Science & Technology Indore Institute of Science & Technology

	Department of Computer Science Engineering and Albed Dopartment - One week Faculty Development Program
4 - 5000000	On 10 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15
美型建築	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023) (12
1.00	Name of participant (Optional). Cetty Confets
A THE STATE OF	
A STITLES	Department Name
STATE OF	1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
	Excellent Excellent
TO ACTIO	b. Very Good
1 3 455	c. Good
1 1 1 1 1	6. Fair
	e. Poor
	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess; and needs?
	a. Yes, completely
	b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very
	d. No, not at all
	How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
1 2	a. Excellent
-	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Pour
	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?
	ar Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	a. Yes, very approachable
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable
	c. No, not very approachable
	d. No, not at all approachable
	Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	A. Yes, significantly
	b. Yes, to some extent
	c. No, not much
	d. No, not at all
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	or Excellent b. Very Good
	e. Good
	d. Fair
	s. Poor
	Asy other comment:
	Unit Americanism
	Suggestions for Improvement:
	A 100 COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O







Indore Institute of Science & Technology Science & Technology

Judore Institute of Science and Technologic Department of Computer Science Engineering and A One week Faculty Development Progra	BRITTON STATES OF THE PARTY OF
On Research Methodology (24 July to 18 July	(1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Research Steinbassey (12 day 42 day	
Name of participant (Optional). How will be calculated	
Department Name CSE	
How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	and the second of the second second
a Excellent	活色 机动物 计对对语言编辑
Very Good	
e. Good	Manager and the state of the st
d. Pair	
e. Poor	and the second s
2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess and	
Yes, completely	
b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very	The second of th
A No. 100 and 100 All	
No, not at all No, not at all No do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing	, scheduling, and flow?
Lixcellent	10 / 0 / V = 0 / V 10 /
b. Very Good	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF
c. Good	
d. Fair	经 证据是基金数据的
• e. Poor	
4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty an	d presenters?
a. Excellent	
Very Good	
c, Good	
d. Fair e. Poor	
Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to que	estions?
Yes, very approachable	
b. Yes, somewhat approachable	祖原 化油 化基本的 电中间 经基础条件
c. No, not very approachable	
d. No not at all approachable	
6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
Yes, significantly	
b. Yes, to some extent	
e. No, not much	
d. No, not at all	
7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program content	
a. Excellent	SUTER SCIE
c. Good	
d. Fair	(((5)))
e. Poor	
Any other comment:	The state of the s
Nice Strong	
Suggestions for Improvement:	
	- Land Control of the
	CARLES THE CONTRACT OF TAXABLE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Induse
Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department
One week Faculty Development Program On Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023) Name of participant (Optional). Descendra Singh mordlo? Department Name......EC.... How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
 Excellent
 Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor e. Poor

2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess: And needs?

a. Yes, completely

b. Yes, somewhat

c. No, not very

d. No, not at all How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
 Excellent
 Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters? a. Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and resp Yes, very approachable
 Yes, somewhat approachable No, not very approachable
 No, not at all approachable bid the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
 Yes, significantly by
 Yes, to some extent No, not much
 No, not at all
 How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents. a. Excellent b. Very Good c. Good Good for all adamicians Any other comment: reena mom Septems was so important

IQAC Page 17 of 43

Indore Institute of Science
Principal



Indore Institute of Science & Technology Science & Technology

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

	Indore Institute of Science and Technology Indore to engage the Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allaw Department One week Faculty Development Programs One week Faculty Development Programs
Augustion.	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 3023)
· Earling	Name of participant (Optional). DV Sartish Journe Pendoda.
	Department Name
LIFE OF	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
Mark To	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDF: Excellent
1 2 2 3 1 1	b. Very Good 🗸
127,835	e. Good
	d. Pair
1000	e. Poor
6 122538-4	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs?
	a. Yes, completely
To Later	b. Yes, somewhat
1 24-01	c. No, not very
	d. No, not at all
b Standy	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
(:	a. Excellent
1000	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
1	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good
B. 1.80	c. Good d. Fair
	c. Poor
	Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	a. Yes, very approachable
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable
	c. No, not very approachable
	d. No, not at all approachable
	6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
TO TO A	a. Yes, significantly
	b. Yes, to some extent
	c. No, not much
	d. No, not at all
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good ✓
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	Any other comment:
	www.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.common.co
	Suggestions for Improvement:
	•
1.05	



Indore Institute of Science Principal and Technology, Indore



Indore Institute of Science & Technology Science & Technology

Department of Computer Science One week Faculty I	ce and Technology, Industra Avictiva Engineering und Albier Department to bevelopment Programs	
Research Methodology	(24 July to 28 July 2023) = +	0.1146.000
ANY COUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF		建筑
Name of participant (Optional). Misher Blos	Hamilton and the second	
Department NameCSE		
t. How would you rate your overall experience at	the FDP?	
Excellent		
b. Very Good		
c. Good		
d. Pair		
e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your fix	eld and professional needs?	COLOR DE CONTRA
a. Yes, completely		
b. Yes, somewhat		
c. No, not very	The second secon	WALLS TO
d No not at all		My-10-40898 (1975)
3. How do you rate the organization of the session	ns in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	WITCH STREET
Excellent		
b. Very Good		
c. Good		
d. Fair		
e. Poor 4. How would you rate the expertise and knowle	des of the foculty and presenters?	ather of the calls
Excellent	age of the ractiff? But because	
b. Very Good		and the second
e. Good		
d. Fair		100000000000000000000000000000000000000
e Poor		
5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable	and responsive to questions?	The state of the s
Yes, very approachable		2000年
b. Yes, somewhat approachable		
c. No, not very approachable		
d. No, not at all approachable	him?	
6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning	ng opportunities:	
Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent		
e. No, not much		
d. No, not at all		
How do you rate the quality and relevance of	the program contents	- THE BUS
a. Excellent		
b. Very Good		
c. Good	LANGE CONTRACTOR OF A PARTY OF AN	12 30 36
d. Fair	100 DESCRIPTION OF 124 17	
e. Poor		
Any other comment:		
i de de la companione d		

Suggestions for Improvement:	Visit in the second sec	
Suggestions for Improvention		
1 1 4 5 5 5 minimum mi		








i raje v s	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
		MENTAL
Tree State	Name of participant (Optional). ARTYS. Shulley Department Name. C.S.E.	
	Department Name	
	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	XSII SAVVE
	a Excellent	
	b. Very Good	
300	e. Good	
100	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	719H-527 Land
CA ITA	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profession of needs?	92335510
	vr. Yes, completely	400 mg a 20
	b. Yes, somewhat	
	c. No, not very	MILL ST
Se Bo	d. No, not at all	The state of
- C	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	
	a. Excellent	
	b. Very Good	
	c. Good	A SOUTH REAL PROPERTY.
	d. Fair	AL OF CHAIN
	- e. Poor	
100	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?	
	Excellent	
	b. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d Fair	
	e. Poor	
	5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	
	Yes, very approachable	
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
-	c. No, not very approachable	
	d. No, not at all approachable 6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
	6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities:	William I
	b. Yes, to some extent	Mark I
	c. No, not much	
	d. No, not at all	
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	
	a. Excellent	
	b. Very Good	1
	e. Good	
	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	
	Any other comment:	
		oxene.
	Suggestions for Improvement:	








- BANKER	
	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indoressay
STATE OF THE STATE	Township of Computer Science Engineering and Albert Science Linearing
THE REL	One week Faculty Development Program
	On the state of th
ma 5 491	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 1023)
	WILLIAM PAROLIT
50000000000000000000000000000000000000	Name of participant (Optional)
1247	Name of participant (Optional) VIVEK GIRPLE Department Name CETTIPALES SCIENCE EngineEV
	Department Name
	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good
	e. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profession of needs?
	2. Was the content of the PDF relevant to your field and post-
	a. Yes, completely
	b. Yes, somewhat
	c. No, not very
	d. No, not at all 3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
	3. How do you rate the organization of the session at the session
AND WA	a. Excellent
-5000000	b. Very Good
	e. Good
	d. Fair
	Poor How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the foculty and presenters?
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c, Good
	d. Fair
	Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	5. Were the faculty and presenters appeared
	a. Yes, very approachable b. Yes, somewhat approachable
- to #2	b. Yes, somewhat approachable c. No, not very approachable
(d. No, not at all approachable
16	No, not at all approachable Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
100	a. Yes, significantly
	b. Yes, to some extent
	e. No, not much
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	7. How go you rate the quality
	a. Excellent b. Very Good
	[3] - 8/1/3
	(A)
	d. Fair
	Any other comment:
	The state of the s
	Suggestions for Improvement:
	Suggestions for improvement. OME OFFICE
	()







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024





Indore Institute of Science



	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore . Department of Computer Science Engineering and Alfied Department One week Faculty Development Program On
	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)
	Name of participant (Optional). DY. GERAL YOURS. Department Name. ESH. (Mathematics)
	1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP? a. Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs? a. Yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very
	d. No, not at all
	A. No, not at all How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow? Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 4. How would you rate the exportise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters? Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions? Excellent Ves, very approachable b. Ves, somewhat approachable c. No, not very approachable c. No, not very approachable
-	d. No, not at all approachable
-	No, not at an approachable Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	a. Yes, significantly Lb. Yes, to some extent c. No, not much
	d. No not at all
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	a. Excellent b. Very Good c. Good
	d. Fair e. Poor Any other comment: We will egas to tender listen more expents M. FAP.
	Suggestions for Improvement:







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Judius Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department One week Faculty Development Program
	On Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)
	Name of participant (Optional) MY Ponks) MAIUMA
	Name of participant (Optional). Machine Management (Optional). Machine Machine Management (Optional). Machine Machine Management (Optional). Machine Ma
FOR SER	Department Name. Chemical,
	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
and profession	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c, Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess; point needs?
16	2. Was the content of the Por Televant of your
	b. Yes, somewhat
	c. No, not very
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
	d. No, not at all 3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of tuning, scheduling, and flow?
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good
	Good
	d. Fair
	가는 마음이 맞았다. 하는 이번 사는 전, 200 이 나를 다 되면 내려가 하는 것이다. 그는 어디에 가장하는 것이다.
	Poor How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?
	Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	a. Yes, very approachable
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable
-	c. No, not very approachable
	d. No, not at all approachable 6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	6. Did the FDP provide you with variable teating opposition
	b. Yes, to some extent
	c. No, not much
	d. No got at all
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	n. Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	Any other comment:
	Au, tille, commen
	The same of the sa
	Suggestions for Improvement:



Indore Institute of Science



Department of Cou Oue v	week Faculty 1 h Methodology Mr. Mod Long ix all experience a	Engineering and bevelopment Pro On (24 July to 28 J WONLUA, D method to to the FDP	uly 2023) Oorga		THE STATE OF THE S
Research Research ane of participant (Optional) Partment Name How would you rate your overa	week Faculty I h Methodology Mr. Mod Long ix all experience a	Development Pro On (24 July to 28 J Lowella, D., Delhard, M., D., Carlon, M.,	uly 2023) Oorga	(a) (1.7) = (b) (2.74) (b) (1.7) = (b) (2.74) (c) (1.77) (c) (1.77) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)	
Research ane of participant (Optional) partment Name	h Methodology MrMod JEnglis all experience a	On (24 July to 28 J	uly 2923) Ocega		
me of participant (Optional) partment Name	h Methodology Mr. Mod Long in all experience a	(24 July to 28 J	orgi:		
b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Was the content of the FDP rel yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat	levant to your fi			±9.	
b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Was the content of the FDP rel yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat	levant to your fi				
b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Was the content of the FDP rel yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat	levant to your fi				
b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Was the content of the FDP rel yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat	levant to your fi				
c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Was the content of the FDP rel- 19—"Yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat e. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizati		old and profess'.>	al needs?		
d. Fair e. Poor Was the content of the FDP rel e. Yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat e. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat e. Excellent		old and profess'>	al needs?		
e. Poor Was the content of the FDP reli Yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat		old and profess; >	al needs?		
Was the content of the FDP reliancy, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organization.		eld and profess ¹ >	al needs?		
Yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat BEXCEllent		eid and profess /	and the same		
b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat a Excellent	ion of the sessio				
e. No, not very d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat Excellent	ion of the sessio				
d. No, not at all How do you rate the organizat Excellent	ion of the session				
How do you rate the organizat	ion of the session		2000		1
Excellent	tion of the session	one in terms of the	ning scheduling and	d flow?	
		ans in terms of the	mile senegaring and		
h Very Good					
				195	
c. Good					-
d. Fair		70.35			
e. Poor	Section 1		and amountains?		
How would you rate the exper	rtise and knowle	adge of the faculty	and presenters:		
Excellent					
b. Very Good					
c. Poor			o autoritanu9		
. Were the faculty and presenter	rs approachable	and responsive to	o questions:		
Yes, very approachable					
c. No, not very approachab	de				
d. No, not at all approachab	ole				
. Did the FDP provide you with	n valuable learn	ing opportunities			
Yes, significantly					
b. Yes, to some extent					
c. No, not much					
d. No, not at all		s survey reconstruction	X-144		
7. How do you rate the quality a	and relevance of	f the program con	tents	EW Carrier Control	
Excellent			ASS		
b. Very Good			15/	A	
e. Good			V. V.		
d, Fair				at Statyal	
e. Poor					
Any other comment:					
		***************************************		aanatatan	

				100	
Suggestions for Improvement:				40 A STATE	100
	b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Were the faculty and presente a Yes, very approachable b. Yes, somewhat approacha d. No, not wery approachab d. No, not at all approacha d. Did the FDP provide you wit Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent c. No, not much d. No, not at all How do you rate the quality a Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Any other comment:	b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable a Yes, very approachable b. Yes, somewhat approachable c. No, not very approachable d. No, not at all approachable b. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learn Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent c. No, not at all How do you rate the quality and relevance of a Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Any other comment:	b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair c. Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to the faculty and presenters approachable to the faculty and the faculty and faculty and faculty to the faculty and faculty to the faculty and faculty to the faculty	b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair c. Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions? — Yes, very approachable b. Yes, somewhat approachable c. No, not very approachable d. No, not at all approachable Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities? — Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent c. No, not much d. No, not at all How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents — Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Any other comment:	b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair c. Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions? — Yes, very approachable b. Yes, somewhat approachable c. No, not very approachable d. No, not at all approachable b. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities? — Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent c. No, not at all l. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents — Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Any other comment:







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department One week Faculty Development Program On	
The delice	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)	
		Œ.
	Name of participant (Optional) Department Name	
	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	
	a. Excellent	
	No. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	e, Poor	
	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess; and needs?	
1,000	Yes, completely	
	b. Yes, somewhat	-
	c. No, not very	
	d. No, not stall	
1	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	
	a. Excellent	
	Jr. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	- e. Poor	
	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?	
	a. Excellent	
	₩. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	
	Yes, very approachable	
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
	c. No, not very approachable	
	d. No, not at all approachable	
Ŷ	No, not at an approximate Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
	yes, significantly	
	b. Yes, to some extent	
	c. No, not much	
	d. No, not at all	
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	
	a Excellent	
	6. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	
	Any other comment:	
	Aug voice comment	
	Suggestions for Improvement:	



Indore estime of Science



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

,	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore 2-117 Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department One week Faculty Development Program One
	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)
· Salara	Name of participant (Optional). Dr. Mukel Pathlan
	Department Name. ECE
	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
	a Excellent
E STILLS	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
100	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs?
	Yes, completely
	b. Yes, somewhat
	c. No, not very
	d. No not at all
1007	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
	Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	- e. Poor
	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?
	a. Excellent
450	Usery Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	c, Poor
	5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	a. Yes, very approachable
	Yes, somewhat approachable
	c. No, not very approachable
	d. No, not at all approachable
-	6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	Ves, significantly
	b. Yes, to some extent
	c. No, not much
	d. No, not at all
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	a. Excellent
	Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
	Any other comment:
	Suggestions for Improvement:



Indore Institute of Science



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

> Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department One week Faculty Development Program

On Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)

	ment Name EC E
1. Hov	would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
ä.	Excellent
b.	Very Good
100	Good
d.	Fair
e.	Poor
2. Was	the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs?
	Yes, completely
1	Yes, somewhat
c.	No, not very
d.	No, not at all
3. Hov	w do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of tiating, scheduling, and flow?
	Excellent
b.	Very Good
La-	Good
d.	Fair .
	Poor
4. Hov	would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?
	Excellent
ь.	Very Good
(0-	*Good
d.	Fair
	Poor .
5. Wei	re the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	Yes, very approachable
	Yes, somewhat approachable
	No, not very approachable
	No, not at all approachable
	the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	Yes, significantly
	Yes, to some extent
	No. not much
	No, not at all
7 Hos	w do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	Excellent
	Very Good
_	Good (S Con)
333	Fair
700	Poor
	The day of the control of the contro
Any o	ther comment:



Principal



Indore Institute of Science & Technology Science & Technology Science & Technology

Ladore lastitute of Science	and technology, incoversely, and
Department of Computer Science E	ngineering and state and
One week Faculty De	Veloping
 1. 1. 10.100.37 (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) 	
Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2020) 152 353 3
√ Q	Tash?
Name of participant (Optional) Ms Rrown	
Department NameCS.E	
How would you rate your overall experience at t	he FDP?
1. How would you rate your overall e-p-	
Excellent	
b. Very Good c. Good	
d. Fair	
Was the content of the FDP relevant to your fiel	d and profess and needs?
Yes, completely	
b. Yes, somewhat	
	About the Control of
c, No, not very d. No, not at all	the state of the s
No, not at all How do you rate the organization of the session	s in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
8 Excellent	and the second s
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
How would you rate the expertise and knowled	ge of the faculty and presenters?
3 Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
Were the faculty and presenters approachable a	and responsive to questions?
yes, very approachable	
b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
c. No, not very approachable	
A No not at all approachable	
6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning	ng opportunities?
Yes, significantly	
b. Yes, to some extent	
c. No, not much	
4 No pot at all	
7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of	the program contents
Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	
Any other comment:	
Any other commences	
Suggestions for Improvement:	
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O







	Indore Institute of Science and Technology (Tennes 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	
	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 4023)	netarios es contra
	Name of participant (Optional)Rithe Laupla	
5 次 次 支援	Department Name	
	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP? Excellent	
	b. Very Good	
P. Branda	c. Good	的情報學是自然
	d. Fair	
至于对于利益	e. Poor	
Chic Server Men 105	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess; and needs?	made from the second residence
	a. Yes, completely	
	b. Yes, somewhat	
	c. No, not very	
10.004	d. No, not at all	and the second s
La Tiller	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flo	w?
	a. Excellent	trender
	b. Very Good	
F 21 10 15	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
135	- e. Poor	
	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?	
	a Excellent	The Edward Committee
S A DES	b. Very Good	
	c. Good	
The Action	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	
100	5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	A 2795
	a. Yes, very approachable	
	b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
1 5	c. No, not very approachable	
	d. No, not at all approachable	
	Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
	a. Yes, significantly	
1 4 7 7 7 7 8	b. Yes, to some extent	
	c. No, not much	
	d. No, not at all	
S San Salah	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	
E 41 F. 10	a. Excellent	
	b. Very Good	
100	c. Good	
	d. Fair	MINUS TOTAL
y 'n kun	e. Poor	
	Any other comment:	
		Sugardia de la companya de la compa
	Comments for Improvement	
	Suggestions for Improvement:	
		The state of the s







ludore Institute of Science and Technol Department of Computer Science Engineering an One week Faculty Development Pr On	d'Albeit Babarinemer.
Research Methodology (24 July 10-28	July 2023)
	精明的表演: Extra xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Name of participant (Optional) Latt lugele	
A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	Here were the second of the said
Department Name	
1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	
Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	viol needs?
2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess:	
a. Yes, completely	
b. Yes, somewhat	
c. No, not very	
d. No, not at all 3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of ti	ming scheduling, and flow?
3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of the	
Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor 4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the facing	ty and presenters?
4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the rate.	
Excellent	
b. Very Good	
e. Good	
d. Fair	
 Poor Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive 	to questions?
5. Were the faculty and presenters approximate and representation	
a. Yes, very approachable b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
D. Yes, somewhat approachable	
c. No, not very approachable	
d. No, not at all approachable 6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunitie	2
6. Did the PDF provide you will variable is a series of the series of th	
Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent	
c. No, not much	
d. No, not at all	
7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program co	ntents
Excellent	
b. Very Good	ARCEN .
c. Good	
d. Fair	(5/ 5)
e. Poor	数3/11
A sur ashar comment:	
Any other comment.	
4.00.000	
(a	
Suggestions for Improvement:	







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

Ludore Institute of Sciences Department of Computer Science Eag One week Faculty Deve	LIDORIDE WHI ENDER A TAKE OF THE PARTY OF TH
TO THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY	
Research Methodology (2	4 July to 28 July 2023)
O I a	
Name of participant (Optional) Pritesu	Salclectuq
Department Hame	
1. How would you rate your overall experience at th	e EDP/
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair e. Poor	
e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field	and professional needs?
a. Yes, completely	
b. Yes, somewhat	
c. No, not very	
	The same state of the same sta
d. No, not at all 3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions	in terms of finning, scheduling, and flow?
a, Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
- e. Poor	of the faculty and presenters?
How would you rate the expertise and knowledge	e of the racing have
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor 5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and	id responsive to questions?
a. Yes, very approachable	
b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
c. No, not very approachable	
/ No not at all approachable	
6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning	g opportunities?
a. Yes, significantly	
b. Yes, to some extent	
c. No, not much	
d. No, not at all	a program contents
7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the	ie program communication of the program of the prog
a. Excellent	
b. Very Goodt	(A) (O) (A)
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	
Any other comment:	
Jewis (as	
Suggestions for Improvement:	
	The state of the s
Science Science	Nichal

IQAC Page 32 of 43 Indore I Principatif Science and Technology, Indore



4.5 (\$4) (A)	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Industry (1987)
	Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department Science Engineering and Engineering E
	On On
	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023).
	Name of participant (Optional). The Arankel Agracul
	Department Name ESH.
	How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
	Excellent Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good
	d. Fair
	e. Poor
September 1	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess and needs?
	a. Yes, completely
	b. Yes, somewhat
1 20 100	c. No, not very
	d. No, not at all
14-7	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
	a, Excellent
-156	b. Very Good —
- 150	c. Good
	d. Fair
	- e. Poor
	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters?
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good —
	c. Good
	d. Pair
	e. Poor
	5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	a. Yes, very approachable
1.	b. Yes, somewhat approachable
P. C.	c. No, not very approachable
	d. No, not at all approachable
	6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	a. Yes, significantly
	b. Yes, to some extent
	c. No, not much
	d. No, not at all
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
	a. Excellent
	b. Very Good
	c. Good d. Fair
	e. Poor
	Any other comment:
	Suggestions for Improvement:
100	







Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore	
Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department	過程
One week Faculty Development Program	
On process of the second secon	
Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)	
Name of participant (Optional)	
Department Name	
How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP? a. "Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
de Fair	= 201
e. Poor	
2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs?	
a. Yes, completely	1
b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very	
d. No, not at all	
3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
A Fair	
- e. Poor	
4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?	
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
e. Poor	
5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	
a. Yes, very approachable	
. X. Yes, somewhat approachable	
c. No, not very approachable	
d. No, not at all approachable	
6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
a. Yes, significantly	- 73
Yes, to some extent	
c. No, not much	
d. No, not at all 7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
1 Fair	
e. Poor	
Any other comment:	
	1
Suggestions for Improvement:	
	- 14







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore is Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department One week Faculty Development Program
23.00	On
	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023) (5 142
Name o	Participant (Optional) Ashutosh Koohin
Departn	nent NameEC
	would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
	Excellent
	Very Good
	Good Fair
	Poor
2 11/2-	the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profession needs?
	Yes, completely
	Yes, somewhat
4 177.0	No, not very
	No not at all
2 Hou	v do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
	Excellent
J.	Very Good
c.	Good
	Fair
-	Poor
4 Ho	w would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters?
	Excellent
3 H	Very Good
C.	Good
	Fair
	Poor
5 Wa	rethe faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	Yes, very approachable
	Yes, somewhat approachable
0.	No, not very approachable
٥.	No, not at all approachable
6 Die	I the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
0. 10.	Yes, significantly
h.	Yes, to some extent
	No, not much
	No, not at all
7 Ho	w do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
4 a.	- W. A.
√b.	The same a Visit
	Good
	Foir
	Poor

Any C	Such events blood be organize more
	pagnertly,
C	vertions for Improvement:
Sugg	estions for Improvement:
	-NIL-
.,	
	。



Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore



	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indore 25 15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)
	Name of participant (Optional). Megha. BB+hase. Department Name. CSF.
	1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP? ** Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs? ** Yes, completely b. Yes, somewhat c. No, not very d. No, not at all 3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow? ** Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters? ** Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor 5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions? ** Yes, very approachable b. Yes, somewhat approachable c. No, not very approachable d. No, not at all approachable d. No, not at all approachable d. No, not at all approachable f. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities? ** Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some extent c. No, not much d. No, not at all 7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents ** Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Any other comment: f. Apply Shabuld be Aurily the time the former of the program contents ** Excellent b. Very Good c. Good d. Fair e. Poor Any other comment: f. Apply Shabuld be Aurily the time the former of the faculty surface. ** Faculty that Shabuld be Aurily the firme the faculty surface. ** Faculty that Shabuld be Aurily the firme the faculty surface. ** Faculty that Shabuld be Aurily the firme the faculty surface. ** Suggestions for Improvements: ** Faculty that Shabuld aurily and outland if the firme surface. ** Suggestions for Improvements: ** Faculty that Shabuld aurily aurily aurily surface. ** Suggestions for Improvements: ** Faculty that Shabuld aurily aurily aurily surface. ** Suggestions for Improvements: ** Faculty that Shabuld aurily aurily aurily surface. ** Suggestions for Improvements: ** Faculty that Shabuld aurily aurily surface. ** Suggestions for Improvements: ** Faculty that Shabuld aurily surface. ** Faculty that Shabuld aurily surfac
	free from other accedemic littles so that the with Sectously.
p de la	







Indore Institute of Science and Technology Indore 20% Spire Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied Department of One week Faculty Development Program & 1.	
Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)	
Name of participant (Optional) Lality Bargodiya. Department Name Computer Science & ENgg.	
Department Name Computer Science & FN99.	
1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	
Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	
2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess > ol needs?	ALAMAKAN.
Yes, completely	10 To
b. Yes, somewhat	
c. No, not very	
d. No, not at all	THE WALLS
3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	
a. Excellent	
very Good	
c. Good	
그는 그리고 한 경험이 가게 하지않는 아들은 물이 들어가는 것 같은 그렇게 하다면 가장 살아가셨다고 하고 하지 않는데 가장	
- e. Poor	
How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters? Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	
Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	
Yes, very approachable	
b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
c. No, not very approachable	
d. No, not at all approachable	
6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
6. Yes, significantly	
b. Yes, to some extent	
c. No, not much	
d. No, not at all	
7/ How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	1
a Excellent	100
b. Very Good	7 8
c. Good	
d. Fair	200
e. Poor	2
Any other comment:	
FDP is very entersting and emprove to	ts of
Suggestions for Improvement:	a securior al
No	







Indore Institute of Science & Technology Science & Technology

Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indo Department of Computer Science Engineering and Allied One week Faculty Development Program On	HOLEN TO THE THE STATE OF THE S
Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2073	
Name of participant (Optional)	
Department Name	
1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	影响的对象的特殊的特殊
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good ~	
c. Góod	[16] 对自己的特殊的。 [16] 对自己的特殊的
d. Fair	。 15. 14. 14. 14. 14. 14. 14. 14. 14. 14. 14
e, Poor	
2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess and needs	
a. Yes, completely	
b. Yes, somewhat	
c. No, not very	
d. No, not at all	aduling and flow?
d. No, not at all 3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, sch	edding, and now
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d, Fair	
- e. Poor	centers?
How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and pre	School State of the State of th
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	ms?
Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to question	
a. Yes, very approachable	
bYes, somewhat approachable	
c. No, not very approachable	
d. No, not at all approachable	Extraction of the second
6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
a. Yes, significantly	The state of the s
b. Yes, to some extent	
c. No, not much	ALTER.
d. No, not at all 7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents.	Service of the servic
	(\$(A\a)
a, Excellent	131 09 1刻
b. Very Good	
c. Good	CAMP30
d. Fair	76
e, Poor	The second secon
Any other comment:	
Suggestions for Improvement:	
7.50	and the second







	Indore Institute of Science and Terphology, Sudars 18, 1811
2005年160000000000000000000000000000000000	Department of Computer Science Engineering model Merallic partment
erest constitution with the	One week Faculty Development Program
	On System Gazza 2.25 42-72.
经济基金会	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023) 19, 15775-1.
Name	of participant (Optional) fariment thank
在一个一个人	
Manager Street, Street	w would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?
图 1994 21 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Excellent
6, C.	Very Good
THE OF ALCOHOLOGY WAS PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.	Fair
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Poor
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	is the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs?
	Yes, completely,
The second of th	Yes, somewhat
The Control of the Co	No, not very
	No, not at all
The state of the s	w do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
	Excellent
b.	Very Good ~
c.	Good
d.	Fair
- e.	Poor
4. Ho	w would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?
a.	Excellent
b.	Very Good V
	Good
	Fair
	Poor
	re the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?
	Yes, very approachable
	Yes, somewhat approachable
	No, not very approachable
	No, not at all approachable
	the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?
	Yes, significantly Yes, to some extent
	No, not much
	No, not at all
	w do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents
The second secon	Excellent
	Very Good V
	Good
d.	Fair
e.	Poor
Any of	ther comment:
Sugges	stions for Improvement:
. Agricon	







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

		Indore Institute of Science and Jeckostory Indore Department of Computer Science Engineering and Alfred Department One week Faculty Development Program On Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)	
ASSOCIATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Name	of participant (Optional). As Margi Patel	
	Depart	ment NameCSE IT	
		w would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	5. 经通过的 1985年
		Excellent	
		Very Good	
27.5		Good	
		Pair	
1 1 2 1	San San San	Poor	
		s the content of the FDP relevant to your field and profess Fal needs?	
		Yes, completely	學物學的
	b.	Yes, somewhat	
	c.	No, not very	
(45	d.	No, not at all	the state of the second section is a second section of the
130.1	3. Ho	w do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	50年6日1日本
	M.	Excellent	
	b.	Very Good	
t Verdage	C.	Good	
	d.	Fair	
		Poor	
	4. Ho	w would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters?	
1 1 2 4	V	Excellent	
		Very Good	
	Carlotte Carlotte	Good	
1.5%	A TO	Fair	
		Poor	
		re the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	
* '		Yes, very approachable	
		Yes, somewhat approachable No, not very approachable	
		No, not at all approachable	
		I the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
		Yes, significantly	
		Yes, to some extent	
		No, not much	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		No, not at all	
	C 46 C 227	w do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	
		Excellent	
	b.	Very Good	1.
	c.	Good	
	d.	Fair Aller A	No Standard Land
	e.	Poor	计区域系统对
fiction of	Any o	ther comment:	
1 1	ALC: N		
	Sugge	stions for Improvement:	
			enterior in the
14.3			



Indore Institute of Scienc? and Technology, Indore Saturday, December 21, 2024



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

and the desired section of the section of	lore Institute of Science and Technology, Indote 19 2015
Dangetment	of Comonter Science Engineering and Makes avel 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
encless the containing marks the south	One week Faculty Development Programs
and the second s	On escarch Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023) escarch
	[] "这个时间,我们就是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个
Name of participant (Option	nal)
Department Name	
1. How would you rate yo	ur overall experience at the FDP?
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good d. Fair	
Poor	
2 Was the content of the	FDP relevant to your field and profess; and needs?
a. Yes, completely	
b. Yes, somewhat	
c. No, not very	
d. No, not at all	and the second s
3. How do you rate the or	ganization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	e expertise and knowledge of the faculty and presenters?
a. Excellent	e experiese and another section for the
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e Poor	
5. Were the faculty and p	resenters approachable and responsive to questions?
a. Yes, very approach	hable
b. Yes, somewhat a	
c. No, not very appr	oachable
d. No, not at all app	roachable
6. Did the FDP provide	ou with valuable learning opportunities?
a. Yes, significantly b. Yes, to some exte	
c. No, not much	
d. No, not at all	
7. How do you rate the o	uality and relevance of the program contents
a. Excellent	
b. Very Good	
c. Good	
d. Fair	
e. Poor	
Any other comment:	
Suggestions for Improv	ement:



Indore Institute of Science
Principal
and Technology, Indore



	Indore Institute of Science and Technology, Indirectors of Computer Science Engineering and Ailled Department	
of Court of Labor	One week Faculty Development Program	
	On 2011 2023	
10.20.00	Research Methodology (24 July to 28 July 2023)	
	Name of participant (Optional) Rake h Vorney	
	Department Name	
1	1. How would you rate your overall experience at the FDP?	
	a. Excellent	
	b. Very Good V	大声 对
12/8/37:	c. Good	
	d. Fair	美国的
-	e. Poor	
NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	2. Was the content of the FDP relevant to your field and professional needs?	
	a. Yes, completely	
	b. Yes, somewhat	THE RESERVE AND A STATE OF THE
173	c. No, not very	CASE ENGINEER
1 1	d. No, not at all	THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE
	3. How do you rate the organization of the sessions in terms of timing, scheduling, and flow?	A SEASON TO SELECT
185	a. Excellent	
	b. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
1	- e. Poor	
120	4. How would you rate the expertise and knowledge of the faculty, and presenters?	
	a. Excellent	
2004	b. Very Good	
	c. Good	
0.00	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	
	5. Were the faculty and presenters approachable and responsive to questions?	
1513	a. Yes, very approachable	
- XX	b. Yes, somewhat approachable	
	c. No, not very approachable	
41.5	d. No, not at all approachable	
114.23	6. Did the FDP provide you with valuable learning opportunities?	
	a. Yes, significantly	
1	b. Yes, to some extent	
	c. No, not much	
	d No not at all	
	7. How do you rate the quality and relevance of the program contents	
	a. Excellent	
	b. Very Good	
	c. Good	
	d. Fair	
	e. Poor	
10.00	Any other comment:	
	NA NA	
, W-14.		
V. V. Ages	Suggestions for Improvement: Such End 9 For will be Schedule in future	
	Such And 1 Tota com De	······································
	Schoole in future	







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal, Recognized by UGC under Section 2(f) 2023-2024

8. Summary Report on FDP

Indore Institute of Science and Technology

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to RGPV, Bhopal

Department of Computer Science/Information technology/AIML and Data Science

Summary/Report for FDP

Title

FDP on Research Methodology

Date of Event

24/07/2023 to 28/07/2023

Coordinator


: Mrs. Praveena Joshi

Eminent Speakers:

- Mr. Rakehs Soni
- Dr. Shweta Agrwal
- Dr. Richa Gupta
- · Dr. Puneet Duggal
- · Dr. Veena Wadwani

Summary:

Department of Computer Science/Information technology/AIML and Data Science organized Faculty Development Program on Research Methodology. The aim of this FDP is to provide the participants with the fundamentals of research design and methodology to conduct relevant research that will aid quality decision making and contribute to the body of knowledge in various areas of interest. This necessitates the awareness of Quality Research and Methodological tools keeping in view the need among Academician, Researchers and Consultants to strengthen their Research Skills. The present FDP is designed in such a way to impart the knowledge and give exposure to the participants about Research Problems, process of patent Filing process, Research tools and Techniques, Sponsored research projects and Funding opportunities with effective Communication.





Principal
Principal
Indere Institute of Science
and Technology, Indore
Saturday, December 21, 2024